

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,488	12/19/2002	Paul R. Henion	SCH-00030-DVB	3116	
7590 07/28/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Warn, Burgess & Hoffmann, P.C.			SHAFER, RICKY D		
P.O. Box 70098					
Rochester Hills,	MI 48307	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2872	2872	
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	`
$\alpha$	١.
1/	х
W	1
V'	<b>∖</b> J
1	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Antique Occasion	10/635,488	HENION ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ricky D. Shafer	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2005.						
·- ·	action is non-final.					
	,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 23-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 30-34 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 23 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) 24-29 is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 May 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,488 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The indicated allowability of claim 23 is withdrawn in view of the reference(s) to Duh ('100), Zebold et al ('512), Baader ('502) and McFarland ('366). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zebold et al (512) in view of Duh ('100), Baader ('502) or McFarland ('366).

Zebold et al discloses a mirror assembly for a vehicle comprising a mirror housing (21), a first reflective element (40) having a first field of view; a second reflective element (41) having a second field of view, the second field of view being wider than the first field of view; and a backing assembly (28,44) supported by the mirror housing, the backing assembly supporting the first reflective element and the second reflective element, note figures 1-6 along with the associated description thereof, except for an indicator light assembly being supported by the housing.

Duh, Baader, and McFarland each teach it is well known to use and attach indicator light assemblies to a mirror housing of a vehicle in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of indicating/signaling other drivers the intent of a particular driver to promote safe driving conditions.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the mirror housing of Zebold et al to include an

Application/Control Number: 10/635,488

Art Unit: 2872

indicator light assembly, as taught by Duh, Baader or McFarland, in order to signal/alert others drivers the intent of a particular driver so as to promote safe driving conditions.

4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the backing assembly supported by the mirror housing and the backing assembly supporting the first reflective element, the second reflective element and the indicator light assembly must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,488 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

5. Claims 24-29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

6. Claims 30-34 are allowed.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ricky D. Shafer whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**RDS** 

July 23, 2005

/RICKY D. SHAFER PATENT EXAMINER ART UNIT 250プ て8つ2